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ABSTRACT
Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS) are expected to perform tasks with ever-increasing levels of autonomy, often in highly uncertain environments. Traditional design techniques based on domain knowledge and analytical models are often unable to cope with epistemic uncertainties present in these systems. This challenge, combined with recent advances in machine learning, has led to the emergence of Learning-Enabled Components (LECs) in CPS. However, very little tool support is available for design automation of these systems. In this demonstration, we introduce an integrated toolchain for the development of CPS with LECs with support for architectural modeling, data collection, system software deployment, and LEC training, evaluation, and verification. Additionally, the toolchain supports the modeling and analysis of safety cases – a critical part of the engineering process for mission and safety critical systems.

CCS CONCEPTS
• Software and its engineering → Application specific development environments;
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1 INTRODUCTION
Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS) are often required to operate in highly uncertain environments, with significant degree of autonomy. For such systems, it is typically not feasible to explicitly design for all possible situations within the environment. CPS designers are increasingly using data-driven methods such as machine learning to overcome this limitation. We define Learning-Enabled Components (LEC) as software components that have been created using machine learning methods. Such LECs have demonstrated good performance for a variety of traditionally difficult tasks such as object detection and tracking [5], robot path planning in urban environments [12], and attack detection in the power grid [9].

CPS are commonly used in mission-critical or safety-critical applications which demand high reliability and strong safety assurance. Assuring safety in these systems requires supporting evidence from testing data, formal verification, expert analysis, etc. Techniques for formal verification of learning-enabled systems are an active area of research [13] and will need to be incorporated into the safety assurance of such systems. Additionally, traceability and reproducibility in all phases of the development cycle is necessary for safety-critical systems and should be automatically handled by an appropriate toolsuite.

2 OVERVIEW
Our team is developing a model-driven development environment for such systems called the Assurance-based Learning-enabled CPS (ALC) Toolchain. Our approach combines multiple Domain Specific Modeling Languages (DSMLs) to support various tasks including architectural modeling, experiment configuration/data generation, LEC training, performance evaluation, and system safety assurance. The architecture of the ALC toolchain is centered around the WebGME infrastructure [6]: a web-based, collaborative, meta-programmable modeling environment. Users may access the environment from most web-browsers without the need for any specialized hardware. Computationally intensive tasks (LEC training, simulation execution, etc.) are executed remotely on servers equipped with the required hardware, and all generated data is stored on a remote file server and managed with a version control system.

The toolchain supports a variant of the block diagram models from the SysML [8] modeling standard for system architecture design. Components are abstract building blocks of the system architecture, and may be hierarchically composed into complete system models. Components may contain one or more concrete implementation alternatives which fulfill the component’s functional requirements. For example, a software object detection component may include implementation alternatives based on conventional (analytical) algorithms and machine-learning based methods. For software
components, implementation nodes contain the information necessary to load, configure and initialize the software node when the system is deployed as well as the business-logic associated with its runtime implementation. Currently, all software components are implemented using the Robot Operating System (ROS) [11].

An assembly model is a refinement of a system model where a specific implementation is selected from the available options for each component. Experiment models allow the user to configure and execute an assembly in the context of its simulation environment (or gym) (eg. UUV Simulator ¹ [5], CARLA ²) Experiments and their campaigns over multiple environmental configurations are used to collect data from a system often for the purpose of performance evaluation or LEC training.

The ALC toolchain supports workflows for training Learning Enabled Components (LECs) using either supervised or reinforcement learning techniques [7] as shown in Figure 1. In a supervised learning setup, an LEC model is trained against previously collected data. Then, new experiment or campaign models deploy the system with the trained LEC(s) for integrated testing and evaluation. In a reinforcement learning setup, the LEC is trained during execution of the system and is updated based on the environment response (state and reward) to the generated action. The training is repeated for a specified number of episodes, with each episode lasting a specified maximum time-limit or step-size. The trained LEC is evaluated by executing the reinforcement learning setup in a non-training mode.

Safety assurance is a critical component of any CPS which operates in mission-critical or safety-critical applications, and the ALC toolchain supports Goal Structuring Notation (GSN) [4] for the construction of safety case arguments (For a comprehensive introduction to safety cases and GSN, see [2]). Our toolchain also integrates new formal verification techniques [1] and dynamic assurance monitors [10] for machine learning components.

3 DEMONSTRATION EXAMPLE

As an example, we consider the design and implementation of a learning-enabled controller for an Unmanned Underwater Vehicle (UUV) tasked with following a pipeline on the seafloor. The controller components are integrated using ROS middleware with the UUV Simulator - an open source model of UUV and its environment - executed in Gazebo simulation engine. The UUV used in this example is equipped with four control fins, one propeller/thruster, a forward-looking camera, and vehicle speed and position sensors.

The controller uses the image stream from the camera and the vehicle odometry data to produce actuator commands suitable for following the pipe at a desired separation distance. The task is divided among two components: a path planner and a lower-level PID controller. The path planner uses an LEC based on a Convolutional Neural Network to determine a suitable heading for the vehicle to follow based on camera images. The PID controller translates this heading into control commands for all four fins and the thruster.

We will demonstrate the design and implementation of this controller using the ALC toolchain including: design of the various models within the WebGME environment, execution of the system in a simulated environment, and integration with Jupyter Notebooks³ for interactive execution and performance evaluation. Wireless connectivity during the demonstration is preferable, but not required. Additionally, a poster describing the ALC toolchain and the underlying model-based methodology will be shown.
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Figure 1: LEC Development workflows for supervised learning (Top) and reinforcement learning (Bottom).